E-FILED: October 22, 2009

George Haines, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9411 David Krieger, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9086 HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC 1020 Garces Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 880-5554 FAX: (702) 385-5518 Email: info@hainesandkrieger.com

Attorney for Rudy Amaya and Rosa Amaya

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In Re:) Case No. BKS-08-21693-MKN) Chapter 13)
	Rudy Amaya and Rosa Amaya,) Hearing Date: November 04, 2009) Hearing Time: 1:30 pm
	Debtors(s).)) _)

DEBTORS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR

RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY AND/OR REQUEST FOR RENOTICING UNDER NRS 107.080 (AS REQUIRED UNDER NEVADA ASSEMBLY BILL 149 EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2009) OR REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON WHETHER A REAL PARTY IN INTEREST PURSUANT TO FRCP 17 HAS BEEN NAMED IN CREDITOR'S MOTION FOR RELIEF

COME NOW, RudyAmaya and Rosa Amaya, by and through attorney, George Haines, Esq., of the LAW OFFICES OF HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC, and in response to AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY's ("Creditor") Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay states as follows:

- 1 On October 05, 2008, Debtors filed the current Chapter 13 Petition in Bankruptcy with the Court.
- 2 Debtors' Plan provides that Debtors would continue to make direct payments to Creditor, while under the protection of the automatic stay of the Bankruptcy

Court, as well as provide for all payments in arrears through the Debtors' Chapter 13 Plan.

- 3. On or about October 08, 2009, Creditor filed a Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay.
- 4. Debtors believe that the asset securing AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY's claim is necessary to the Debtors' effective reorganization.
- 5. Debtors also believe that Creditor's motion was not filed by a "Real Party in Interest" pursuant to F.R.C.P. 17. F.R.B.P. 9014 incorporating Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7017; See *In re Wells*, No. 08-17639, 2009 WL 1872401, (Bankr. N.D. Ohio June 19, 2009); *In re Mitchell*, No. 07-16226-LBR, 2009 WL 1044368 (Bankr. D. Nev. March 31, 2009) (holding that MERS lacked standing to pursue stay relief when it could not show that it was either holder of the mortgage note or a transferee in possession of the note, as required by Nevada law to pursue a foreclosure); *In re Jacobsen*, 2009 WL 567188 (Bankr. W.D. Wash. 2009) (denying motion for stay relief because movant had not established either identity of holder of note or movant's authority to act on behalf of that party); *In re Hwang*, 396 B.R. 757 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2008); *In re Hayes*, 393 B.R. 259 (Bankr. D. Mass. 2008); *In re Prevo*, 394 B.R. 847 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2008); *In re Maisel*, 378 B.R. 19 (Bankr. D. Mass. 2007) (standing/ownership of Creditor); *In re Schwartz*, 366 B.R. 265 (Bankr. D. Mass. 2007).
- 6. Accordingly, Debtors request Creditor's motion be denied or in the alternative requests this Court order and evidentiary hearing be held to determine whether Creditor has proper standing and authority to bring this motion.

- 7. In the event this Court is inclined to grant secured Creditor's request for relief from the automatic stay, the Debtors request that Your Honor require Secured Creditor to renotice any pending foreclosure action under NRS 107.080 (as revised by Nevada Assembly Bill 149, effective July 1, 2009) by this Creditor to the extent applicable.
- 8. Pursuant to NRS 107.082 if a foreclosure "sale has been postponed by oral proclamation three times, any new sale information must be provided by notice as provided in NRS 107.080." NRS 107.080 (as revised by Nevada Assembly Bill 149 effective July 1, 2009) requires Secured Creditor to provide Debtors a notice of election to enter into court mandated mediation.

/././

/././

/././

Case 08-21693-mkn Doc 35 Entered 10/22/09 12:18:46 Page 4 of 5

WHEREFORE, Debtors request:

1. AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY's Motion be denied; and/or

2. Order that and evidentiary hearing be scheduled to determine whether

Creditor has proper standing and/or authority to bring the instant motion;

3. Attorney's fees for opposing Creditor's motions and representing Debtors in

an evidentiary hearing in the event Creditor cannot establish property standing

or authority to bring the instant Motion for Relief;

4. In the event Your Honor grants relief from stay, that Secured Creditor be

required to renotice its foreclosure proceeding pursuant to Revised NRS

107.080 (as effective through Nevada AB 149, July 1, 2009), thus providing

the Debtors an opportunity to elect court mandated mediation in State Court;

and/or

5. Such other relief as the Court finds appropriate.

Dated: October 22, 2009

/s/ David Krieger, Esq. Attorney for Debtors(s)

/././

/././

/././

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING RE: DEBTORS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY

I hereby certify that on October 22, 2009, I mailed a true and correct copy, first class mail, postage prepaid, of the above listed document to the following:

/s/George Haines, Esq. Attorney for Debtors

Gregory Wilde 208 S. Jones Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89107